

# ARBORICULTURAL SURVEY REPORT

Land off Worcester Lane, Stourbridge

June 2022

In association with



	Summary table							
Site Name:	Land off Worcester Lane, Stourbridge							
Project reference:	4988							
Site Address:	Worcester Lane, Hagley, Bromsgrove, Worcestershire							
Nearest Postcode:	DY9 0SH	DY9 0SH						
Central Grid reference:	<u>SO 90565 81403</u>							
Local Planning Authority:	Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council							
Relevant planning policies: Black Country Core Strategy (2011): CSP3: Environmental Infrastructure, ENV1: Nature Conservation, ENV3: Design Quality, ENV5: Flood Risk, SUDS and Urban Heat Island, ENV8: Air quality								
Statutory Controls:	Tree Preservation Order	Conservation Area						
	None	No						
Soil Type: (Source: BGS online soils	Superficial/Drift	Bedrock						
map © NERC 2022)	None recorded	Helsby Sandstone Formation - Sandstone						
Topographical Survey:	None provided							
Notes:	The tree locations as shown on the plan in section 2 have been plotted using aerial imagery only. They cannot be regarded as precisely accurate.							
Report author:	Alvan Kingston BSc. MArborA							
Report checked by:	Paul Barton MSc, BSc (Hons), MArbor,	A, RCArborA						
Date of issue:	9th June 2022							





# **REPORT CONTENTS:**

SECTION 1:	SUMMARY, SITE DETAILS & SURVEY F
SECTION 2:	TREE SURVEY & CONSTRAINTS PLAN
SECTION 3:	TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE & SITE IMA
SECTION 4:	METHODOLOGY
SECTION 5:	DESIGN GUIDANCE AND GENERIC A

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED TO PROVIDE ADVICE AND GUIDANCE ON THE POTENTIAL FOR DEVELOPMENT OF LAND IN RELATION TO TREES. IT IS THEREFORE INTENDED FOR 'INTERNAL USE' ONLY BY THE NAMED CLIENT AND DESIGN TEAM. IT MAY NOT THEREFORE BE SUITABLE FOR SUBMISSION TO A PLANNING AUTHORITY WITH A PLANNING APPLICATION.

FINDINGS

Ν

AGES

ADVICE

#### INSTRUCTION 1.

- 1.1. I am Alvan Kingston. I am an arboriculturist with 19 years of experience, and a Professional Member of the Arboricultural Association.
- 1.2. Barton Hyett Associates Ltd have been instructed by CSA Environmental to survey trees located on land off Worcester Lane, Stourbridge ('the site') in accordance with the recommendations of British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - recommendations'.
- 1.3. The scope of the instruction was to inspect trees relevant to a planning application at the site and provide written advice on how they inform feasibility and design options for the site. This report is intended for use by the applicant and design team only, and is not for submission to the Local Planning Authority (LPA).

#### 2. SITE DESCRIPTION

- 2.1. The site is an agricultural field, currently being used to grow arable crops, close to the county boundary of Worcestershire and the Metropolitan Borough of Dudley, West Midlands.
- 2.2. The nearest town is Hagley, Worcestershire, which is less than a mile to the south. The centre of Stourbridge is around 2.3 miles to the north.
- 2.3. The survey site is just over 3 hectares in size, but is part of a large field around of 6.7 hectares. As a result, there are only defined boundaries on the north, east and west. There is a rail line on the western boundary with no trees or hedges present. The northern boundary appears to have been planted as a hedge, but has not been managed as such, so has grown as a linear group of trees. To the east is a traditional close cut native hedge, with a large gap of around 30m. The Worcester Road is beyond the eastern boundary, where there are some trees planted within the highway.
- 2.4. The site is peri-urban in nature, with the urban conurbations of Stourbridge to the north and Hagley to the south. However there is open countryside to the west which is primarily used for agricultural purposes.
- 2.5. The site is flat with what appears to be a public right of way (PROW) running north east to south west of the survey site.
- 2.6. There are no formal vehicle access points into the site at the present time. However, there is an informal accessed used by agricultural vehicles on the south eastern edge of the survey site. There is also the PROW entrance, as mention above.

#### TREE SURVEY FINDINGS 3.

3.1. A total of ten trees, one group of trees and three hedgerows were surveyed. These are summarised in terms of their quality in accordance with the recommendations of BS5837 below, and shown in more detail on the Tree Survey and Constraints Plan (Section 2) and within the Tree Survey Schedule (Section 3).

				Ba Arbo	arton Hyett
	Total	A - High quality trees whose retention is most desirable.	B - Moderate quality trees whose retention is desirable.	C - Low quality trees which could be retained but should not significantly constrain the proposal.	U - Very poor quality trees that should be removed unless they have high conservation value.
Trees	10	1	6	2	1
Groups	1	-	1	-	-
Hedgerows	3	-	3	-	-
Total	14	1	10	2	1

Table 1: Summary of arboricultural features of each BS5837 quality category

### **KEY ARBORICULTURAL FEATURES** 4.

4.1. There is one notable tree within the site, which is a late-mature oak numbered T2. This tree has a trunk circumference of around 4.7m and some veteran features, such as deadwood and large decay cavities. (See images 2 & 3 in Section 3 below the tree schedules).

#### CONSTRAINTS AND OPPORTUNITIES 5.

- 5.1. The site benefits from being widely clear of arboricultural constraints. Only the trees and hedges growing on the north and eastern boundaries need to be considered when designing the development. Of these, only the oak (T2) will have a large Root Protection Area (RPA) that will need to be given close attention during the design phase.
- 5.2. The highway trees (T3 to T9) will not be directly impacted by any development of the site. However, they could impact on the position and visibility splays for a new formal access. In addition, they could pose an issue with shading if dwellings are positioned too close to them.
- 5.3. There are ample opportunities for tree and hedge planting on the site as part of any landscaping scheme for the development.

# **RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION**

- 6.1. The information contained within this report should be used in the preparation of design proposals for the site, in order to minimise negative arboricultural impacts.
- 6.2. Once the design proposal has been agreed, an Arboricultural Impacts Assessment report should be prepared for submission to the LPA in order for the planning application to be validated and to provide the LPA with sufficient information in order to determine the application.
- 6.3. The site is largely a blank canvas in arboricultural terms, with all the trees and hedges located on the north and east field boundaries. These trees and hedges can be included within any green infrastructure provision required by the Local Planning Authority, without compromising the overall development potential of the site.



680 80 280	KE	Y											
2815		Category A Tree - High q (Retention highly desirab											
		Category A - Hedgerow, (	Group, Woodland -	High quality									
		(Retention highly desirab Category B Tree - Moder											
	Category B - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - Moderate quality (Retention desirable)												
281560		Category C Tree - Low quality (May be retained but should not constrain development)											
~			ategory C - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - Low quality May be retained but should not constrain development)										
	$(\bullet)$	Category U Tree - Very low quality											
		(Mostly unsuitable for retention) Category U - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - Very low quality (Mostly unsuitable for retention)											
340	()	Root Protection Area (RP tree deemed to contain s											
281540	$\bigcirc$	Shrub mass/offsite tree/o	ut of scope (OOS)										
	*	Tree/Group/Hedgerow no			ition given is an es	timate							
<b>281</b> 520		survey has not been based ximate only and based on a			- all tree positions	are							
		site may be within a designa se see attached advice and		Area which re	estricts tree works.								
	Tree Ref	Species	Height (m)	LifeStage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m2)							
	T1	Ash (Common)	12	м	9.5	282							
500	T2 T3	Oak (English) Sycamore	8	LM	3.9	707							
281	T4	Maple (Norway)	8	SM	2.8	24							
	T5	Maple (Norway)	8	SM	2.9	26							
	Т6	Maple (Norway)	8	SM	3	28							
	T7 T8	Sycamore Plane (London)	8	SM	4.4	62							
	T9	Maple (Norway)	8	SM	4.2	55							
	T10	Hawthorn	6	М	4.7	69							
<b>281</b> 480	Group Ref		Height Range (m)	LifeStage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m2)							
8	G1	Norway Maple Goat Willow	1 to 7	SM	0	0							
	Hedge Ref	f Species	Avg. Height (m)	Life Stage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m2)							
	H1 H2	Blackthorn Hawthorn Blackthorn	2	SM SM	1.3	5							
	H3	Hawthorn	2	SM	1.3	5							
。													
<b>281</b> 460													
<b>281</b> 440	GR NOR PROJECT	0 5 ID ++++ RTH	+ + + +		30 Meters ⊣	20.							
		Worcester	Rd, Sto	urbric	lge (498	38)							
	DRAWING TITLE Tree Survey Plan												
	SCALE DRAWING NUMBER												
420		ale: 1:750 @			Scale:     1:750     @ A3     BHA_4988_01       DRAWN BY     APPROVED BY     REVISION     SHEET     DATE								
<b>281</b> 420	DRAWN	N BY APPROVED BY	<b>A</b> 3		r	- DATE							
28142	Sc DRAWN TB	APPROVED BY B PB	P A3 REVISION	SHEE	31/0	- DATE							
28142	Sc DRAWN TB	APPROVED BY B PB	<b>A</b> 3	SHEE - ewlyn Datum	31/0	- DATE							
28142	DRAWN DRAWN TB COORDIN	N BY APPROVED BY PB	A3 REVISION - National Grid / Ne CSA	SHEE - ewlyn Datum	/ed. // (AOD)	- DATE							
28142	Sc DRAWN TB COORDIN CLIENT	N BY APPROVED BY PB	2 A3 REVISION - National Grid / Net CSA	SHEE - ewlyn Datum	/ed. // (AOD)	- DATE							
28142	Sc DRAWN TB COORDIN CLIENT	N BY APPROVED BY PB	A3 REVISION - National Grid / Ne Crown copyright. Al Emapsite Licence Survey Copyright Li N Hye	I rights reser number 0100 icence numb	r (AOD) //ed. /061264. er 100054267.	- DATE 05//2022							
28142	Sc DRAWN TB COORDIN CLIENT CREDITS Tel: 013/4 Address	N BY APPROVED BY PB IATE SYSTEM / DATUM British 2022 Ordnance S Barto	A3 REVISION - National Grid / Ne CSA Drown copyright. Al Emapsite Licence Survey Copyright L N Hyce ral Consult Business Centre	I rights reserve number 0100 cence number 0100 cence number 0100 cente number 0100 c	r (AOD) //ed. /061264. er 100054267.	- DATE 05//2022							

390 680

DRAWING PRODUCED BY

SUMMIT GEO

summitgeo@outlook.com

BS5837:2012	TREE SURVEY	SCHEDULE

INDIVIDUAL TREES

# LAND OFF WORCESTER LANE, STOURBRIDGE

# PROJECT NO: 4988

# SURVEYOR: ALVAN KINGSTON

# CLIENT: CSA ENVIRONMENTAL

# SURVEY DATE: 27/05/2022

Ref	Species	On/off site	Top Height (m)	No. of Stems	Est diam?	Calc. / Actual Stem Dia. (mm)	Crown radii (m) N-E-S-W	Avg. low crown height (m)	1st branch ht (m)	1st branch dir.	Life Stage	Special importance	General Observations	Health & vitality	Stru con
T1	Ash (Common)	On	12.0	10	None	790.0	9.0-8.0-7.0-10.0	2.0	2.5	w	М	None	Old hedgerow coppice stool; overhangs highway on east; barbed wire embedded in stem; large cavity at based.	Good	
T2	Oak (English)	On	14.0	1	None	1500.0	6.5-7.0-7.0-8.0	3.5	2.0	E	LM	Emerging Veteran	Veteran characteristics (deadwood and large cavities at base and crown break) Good vigour.	Good	G
Т3	Sycamore	Off	8.0	1	None	330.0	4.0-4.0-3.5-3.0	4.0	3.5	NE	SM	None	Tree in highway verge; exposed surface roots; wound at base on NE side. Crown over highway to the east. Minor deadwood in crown.	Good	G
Τ4	Maple (Norway)	Off	8.0	1	None	230.0	4.0-4.0-3.5-3.0	3.0	2.5	SE	SM	None	Tree in highway verge; exposed surface roots; Crown over highway to the east.	Good	G
Т5	Maple (Norway)	Off	8.0	1	None	240.0	2.5-2.5-3.0-2.0	3.0	3.0	N	SM	None	Tree in highway verge; exposed and damaged surface roots; Crown over highway to the east. Wound on south side of stem just below crown break; good reaction wood.	Good	
Т6	Maple (Norway)	Off	8.0	1	None	250.0	3.0-3.0-3.0-3.0	3.0	3.0	NW	SM	None	Tree in highway verge; exposed and damaged surface roots; Crown over highway to the east.	Good	G
Τ7	Sycamore	Off	8.0	1	None	370.0	3.0-5.0-3.0-4.0	3.5	2.5	S	SM	None	Tree in highway verge; exposed and damaged surface roots; Crown over highway to the east. Wound on SE side of base; good reaction wood.	Good	G
Т8	Plane (London)	Off	14.0	1	None	900.0	7.0-8.0-6.0-7.0	5.0	4.0	W	SM	None	Tree in highway verge; exposed and damaged surface roots; Crown over highway to the east. Wound on NE side of base; good reaction wood.	Good	G
Т9	Maple (Norway)	Off	8.0	1	None	350.0	3.0-3.0-3.0-3.0	3.0	2.5	N	SM	None	Tree in highway verge; exposed and damaged surface roots; Crown over highway to the east. Minor deadwood; included union at 2m.	Good	G
T10	Hawthorn	On	6.0	2	None	390.0	2.0-2.0-1.5-1.5	1.5	2.0	W	М	None	Suppressed by ash; deadwood and dieback	Poor	



Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)	RPA m <sup>2</sup>
Fair	40+	<b>B</b> 3	9.5	282.0
Good	40+	A3	15.0	707.0
Good	40+	B1	3.9	49.0
Good	40+	B1	2.8	24.0
Fair	20+	C1	2.9	26.0
Good	20+	C1	3.0	28.0
Good	40+	B1	4.4	62.0
Good	40+	B1	10.8	366.0
Good	40+	B1	4.2	55.0
Fair	<10	U	-	-

# LAND OFF WORCESTER LANE, STOURBRIDGE

# PROJECT NO: 4988

# SURVEYOR: ALVAN KINGSTON

# CLIENT: CSA ENVIRONMENTAL

# SURVEY DATE: 27/05/2022

# **GROUPS OF TREES**

Ref	Species	On/off site	Height range (m)	No. of trees	Est diam?	Max stem diam (mm)	Av. Crown radius (m)	Avg. low crown height (m)	Life Stage	Special importance	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)
G1	Norway Maple, Blackthorn, Apple spp. Viburnum, Bird Cherry, Elder, Hawthorn	On	1 to 7	80	Yes	30	4.0	6.0	SM	None	Outgrown hedgerow tightly planted	Good	Good	40+	B2	0.0

# HEDGEROWS

Ref	Species	On/off site	Av. Height (m)	Av. width (m)	Av. Stem diam (mm)	Avg. low crown height (m)	Life Stage	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)
H1	Blackthorn	On	2.0	2.0	100	10.0	SM	Managed hedgerow	Good	Good	40+	B2	1.3
H2	Hawthorn Blackthorn Sycamore	On	2.0	2.0	100	10.0	SM	Managed hedgerow	Good	Good	40+	B2	1.3
H3	Hawthorn	On	2.0	2.0	100	10.0	SM	Managed hedgerow with one hawthorn that has grown into a tree (T10)	Good	Good	40+	B2	1.3





IMAGE 1: Ash (T1) located on the edge of the NE corner of the site. Taken facing south with the electricity sub-station on the right and lay-by for the Worcester Road on the left.



IMAGE 2: Oak (T2) Located within the northern boundary of the site. Taken facing north.

IMAGE 3: Close up of the facing north west.



IMAGE 4: View of T1, T2 and G1. Taken facing north west

IMAGE 5: View of T4, T5 and G1 from the footpath of Worcester Road. Facing South.

IMAGE 6: Exposed roots and basal wound of T3, taken on footpath of Worcester Road. Facing south.



IMAGE 3: Close up of the crown break and large cavity of Oak (T2). Taken



- The tree survey was carried out with reference to the methodology set out in BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations'.
- Trees were surveyed individually or as groups where it was considered that they had grown together to form cohesive arboricultural features either aerodynamically (trees that provide companion shelter), visually (e.g. avenues or screens) or culturally (including for biodiversity). However, where it was considered that there was an arboricultural need to differentiate between attributes trees within groups and / or woodlands were also surveyed as individuals.
- The full tree survey findings are recorded in the following tree survey schedule.
- Within the tree survey schedule, each surveyed TREE (T), GROUP (G), HEDGEROW (H), WOODLAND (W) or SHRUB MASS on or adjacent to the site is given a reference number which refers to its position on the tree survey and constraints plan.
- TREE SPECIES are listed by common name.

# The **DIMENSIONS** taken are:

- STEM-No. Indicates the number of main stems (i.e. whether the trunk divides at or below 1.5m; (Used in the calculation of RPA.) "m-s" = Multi-stemmed.
- STEM DIAMETER (measured in millimetres), obtained from the girth measured at approx. 1.5m. For trees with 2 to 5 sub-stems a notional figure is derived from the sum of their cross-sectional areas. For multi-stemmed trees, the notional diameter may be estimated on the basis of the average stem size x the number of stems. (A notional diameter may be estimated where measurement is not possible.)
- HEIGHT (measured in metres), recorded to the nearest half metre for dimensions up to 10m and to the nearest whole metre for dimensions over 10m.
- The CROWN SPREAD, taken at the four cardinal points to derive an accurate representation of the tree crown, recorded up to the nearest half metre for dimensions up to 10m and to up the nearest whole metre for dimensions over 10m.
- CROWN CLEARANCES are expressed both as existing height above ground level of first significant branch along with its direction of growth (e.g. 2.5m-N), and also in terms of the overall crown e.g. the average height of the crown above ground level. Measurements are recorded to the nearest half metre for dimensions up to 10m and to the nearest whole metre for dimensions over 10m.
- ESTIMATES. Where any measurement has had to be estimated, due to inaccessibility for example, this is indicated by a "#" suffix to the measurement as shown in the tree survey schedule.

# LIFE STAGE is defined as follows:

- Young: Normally stake dependent, establishing trees. Should be growing fast, usually primarily increasing in Υ height more than spread but as yet making limited impact upon the landscape.
- SM Semi-mature: Established young trees, normally of good vigour and still increasing in height but beginning to spread laterally. Beginning to make an impact upon the local landscape and environment. Semi-Mature (still capable of being transplanted without preparation, up to 30cm girth and not yet sexually mature).

- EM Early-mature: Not yet having reached 75% of expected mature size. Established young trees, normally of good vigour and still increasing in height but beginning to spread laterally. Beginning to make an impact upon the local landscape and environment.
- M Bark may be beginning to crack and fissure. In the middle half of their safe, useful life expectancies.
- LM Late-Mature: In full maturity but possibly beyond mature and in a state of natural decline). Still retaining some vigour but any growth is slowing.
- Α species. Typically having a very wide trunk and a small canopy.

# PHYSIOLOGICAL CONDITION (HEALTH & VITALITY):

Essentially a snapshot of the general health of the tree based upon its general appearance, it's apparent vigour and the presence or absence of symptoms associated with poor health, physiological stress etc. (Fungal infections may be recorded here but decay giving rise to structural weakness would be recorded under 'Structural Condition' - see next parameter):

Good:	No significant health issues.
Fair:	Indications of slight stress or minor disease (e.
	epicormic shoot growth).
Poor:	Significant stress or disease noted; larger areas of
Dead:	(or Moribund).

# **STRUCTURAL CONDITION:**

Defects affecting the structural stability of the tree including decay, significant dead wood, root-plate instability or significant damage to structural roots, weak forks (e.g. those where bark is included between the members) etc. Classified as:

Good:	No obvious structural defects: basically sound.
Fair:	Minor, potential or incipient defects.
Poor:	Significant defect(s) likely to lead to actual failure
Dead:	(or Moribund).

### **ESTIMATED REMAINING CONTRIBUTION:**

An estimate of the length of time in years that a tree might be expected to continue to make a useful contribution to the locality at an acceptable level of risk (based on an assumption of continued routine maintenance):

- Less than 10 years
- 10+ years
- 20+ years
- 40+ years



Mature: Well-established trees, still growing with some vigour but tending to fill out and increase spread.

Ancient: A tree that has passed beyond maturity and is old/aged compared with other trees of the same

.g. the presence of minor dieback/deadwood or of

f dieback than above.

in the medium to long-term.

# SPECIAL IMPORTANCE:

Trees that are particularly notable as high value trees such as ancient trees/woodland or veteran trees. Such trees may be regarded as the principal arboricultural features of a site and pose a significant constraint to potential development.

An ancient tree is one that has passed beyond maturity and is very old compared with other trees of the same species. Very few trees reach the ancient life-stage.

Veteran trees are often very old but not necessarily so; they may be regarded as 'survivors' that have developed some of the characteristic features of an ancient tree but have not necessarily lived as long. All ancient trees are veterans but not all veteran trees are ancient.

An ancient woodland is an area that has been wooded continuously since at least 1600 AD. It includes ancient semi-natural woodland (ASNW), plantations on ancient woodland sites (PAWS) and ancient replanted woodland (ARW)

# QUALITY CATEGORY:

Trees are classed as category U, A, B or C, based on criteria given in BS5837:2012; summary definitions as follows (see BS5837 for further details). Categories A, B and C are further characterised by the use of sub-categories, which attempt to identify what aspect of the tree is the main source of its perceived value, These are:

- (1) arboricultural qualities
- (2) landscape qualities, and
- (3) cultural, historic or ecological/conservation qualities.

Examples of these qualities for each of the three categories are given below, although these are indicative only. Note: This is NOT a health and safety classification; the classification does not take into account any requirement for remedial tree care or ongoing maintenance apart from that which may affect the trees' general suitability for retention.

# **CATEGORY A: HIGH QUALITY:**

Trees or groups whose retention should be given a particularly high priority within the design process. Normally with an expected useful life expectancy of at least 40 years.

- A1: Notably fine specimens; rare or unusual specimens; essential component trees within groups, semi-formal or formal plantings (e.g. dominant trees within an avenue etc.).
- Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as landscape features. A2:
- Trees, groups or woodlands of particular significance by virtue of their conservation, historical, A3: commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood pasture.)

# **CATEGORY B: MODERATE QUALITY:**

Trees or groups of some importance with a likely useful life expectancy in excess of 20 years. Their retention would be desirable; selective removal of certain individuals may be acceptable but only after full consideration of all alternative courses of action.

- B1: Fair quality but not exceptional; good specimens showing some impairment (e.g. remediable defects, minor storm damage or poor past management.)
- B2: Acceptable trees situated such as to have little visual impact within the wider locality. Also numbers of trees, perhaps in groups or woodlands, whose value as landscape features is greater collectively than would warrant as individuals (such that the selective removal of an individual would not impact greatly upon the trees' overall, collective value).
- B3: Trees, groups or woodlands with clearly identifiable conservation or other cultural benefits.

# CATEGORY C: LOW QUALITY:

Trees or groups of rather low quality, although potentially capable of retention for at least approx. 10 years. Also small trees with stems below 15cm diameter.

Potentially retainable, but not of sufficient value to be regarded as a significant planning constraint.

- C1: Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or of significantly impaired condition.
- C2: Trees offering only low or short-term landscape benefits; also secondary specimens within groups or woodlands whose loss would not significantly diminish their landscape value.
- Trees with extremely limited conservation or other cultural benefit. C3:

## CATEGORY U:

Trees likely to prove to be unsuitable for retention for longer than 10 years should any significant increase in site usage arise as a result of development.

E.g. dead or moribund trees; those at risk of collapse or in terminal decline; trees that will be left unstable by other essential works such as the removal of nearby category U trees; trees infected by pathogens that could materially affect other trees; low quality trees that are suppressing better specimens. (Category U trees may have conservation values that it might be desirable to preserve. This category may also include trees that should be removed irrespective of any development proposals.)

### **ROOT PROTECTION AREA (RPA):**

These are normally represented as a circle centred on the base of each tree stem with a radius of 12 times stem diameter, measured at 1.5m above ground level. The shape of the RPA may be altered where site conditions dictate that there are sound reasons to do so.

# VETERAN OR ANCIENT TREE BUFFER (VTB/ATB)

In line with the Standing Advice produced by the Forestry Commission and Natural England this is a buffer zone (in metres) around an ancient or veteran tree that should be at least 15 times larger than the diameter of the tree. The buffer zone should be 5m from the edge of the tree's canopy if that area is larger than 15 times the tree's stem diameter.

# ANCIENT WOODLAND BUFFER (FOR ASNW, PAWS OR ARW)

In line with the Standing Advice produced by the Forestry Commission and Natural England this is a buffer zone of at least 15 metres to avoid root damage. Where assessment shows other impacts are likely to extend beyond this distance, a larger buffer zone may be required.



# THE IMPORTANCE OF TREES

# Wider benefits:

There is a growing body of evidence that trees bring a wide range of benefits to the places people live.

Some *Economic* benefits of trees include:

- Trees can increase property values
- As trees grow larger, the lift they give to property values grows proportionately
- They can improve the environmental performance of buildings by reducing heating and cooling costs, thereby cutting bills
- Mature landscapes with trees can be worth more as development sites
- Trees create a positive perception of a place for potential property buyers
- Urban trees improve the health of local populations, reducing healthcare costs

Some Social benefits of trees include:

- Trees help create a sense of place and local identity
- They benefit communities by increasing pride in the local area
- They can create focal points and landmarks
- They have a positive impact on people's physical and mental health
- They can have a positive impact on crime reduction

Some Environmental benefits of trees include:

- Urban trees reduce the 'urban heat island effect' of localised temperature extremes
- They provide shade, making streets and buildings cooler in summer
- They help remove dust and particulates from the air
- They help to reduce traffic noise by absorbing and deflecting sound
- They help to reduce wind speeds
- By providing food and shelter for wildlife, they help increase biodiversity
- They can reduce the effects of flash flooding by slowing the rate at which rainfall reaches the ground
- They can help remediate contaminated soil

# On new development sites:

Trees bring many benefits to new development. Where retained successfully they can form important and sustainable elements of green infrastructure, contribute to urban cooling and reduce energy demands in buildings. Their importance is acknowledged in relation to adaptation to the effects of climate change. Other benefits brought by trees include:

- Increasing property values
- Visual amenity
- Softening, complementing and adding maturity to built form
- Displaying seasonal change
- Increasing wildlife opportunities in built-up areas
- Contributing to screening and shade
- Reducing wind speed and turbulence

# NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY

The National Planning Policy Framework 2021 (NPPF paragraph 180) states that, when determining planning applications, local planning authorities should apply the following principle:

c) 'development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees) should be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists.'

In this respect the following definitions apply:

'Ancient woodland: An area that has been wooded continuously since at least 1600 AD. It includes ancient semi-natural woodland and plantations on ancient woodland sites (PAWS)', and

'Ancient or veteran tree: A tree which, because of its age, size and condition, is of exceptional biodiversity, cultural or heritage value. All ancient trees are veteran trees. Not all veteran trees are old enough to be ancient, but are old relative to other trees of the same species. Very few trees of any species reach the ancient life-stage.'

Note: Further information from the National Planning Policy Guidance Suite and Standing Advice is provided in the design guidance section.

Other paragraphs of the NPPF 2021 of relevance to this report are:



Paragraph 131: 'Trees make an important contribution to the character and quality of urban environments, and can also help mitigate and adapt to climate change. Planning policies and decisions should ensure that new streets are tree-lined, that opportunities are taken to incorporate trees elsewhere in developments (such as parks and community orchards), that appropriate measures are in place to secure the long-term maintenance of newly-planted trees, and that existing trees are retained wherever possible. Applicants and local planning authorities should work with highways officers and tree officers to ensure that the right trees are planted in the right places, and solutions are found that are compatible with highways standards and the needs of different users.'

Paragraph 174: 'Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:

b) recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, and the wider benefits from natural capital and ecosystem services – including the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land, and of trees and woodland.'

# STATUTORY CONTROLS

# Statutory tree protection

Works to trees which are covered by Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) or are within a Conservation Area (CA) require permission or consent from the Local Planning Authority. Where information is available on any Statutory designations such as this they are identified within the summary table in Section 1 and on the Tree Survey and Constraints Plan at Section 2.

Notwithstanding specific exceptions and in general terms, a TPO prevents the cutting down, uprooting, topping, lopping, wilful damage or wilful destruction of protected trees or woodlands without the prior written consent of the LPA.

Penalties for contravention of a TPO tend to reflect the extent of damage caused but can, in the event of a tree being destroyed, result in a fine of up to £20,000 if convicted in a Magistrates' Court, or an unlimited fine is the matter is determined by the Crown Court.

Similarly, and again notwithstanding specific exceptions, it is an offence to carry out any works to a tree in a Conservation Area with a trunk diameter greater than 75mm diameter at 1.5 height without having first provided the LPA with 6 weeks written notification of intent to carry out the works.

On many non-residential sites (excluding specific exemptions) there is also a statutory restriction relating to tree felling that relates to quantities of timber that can be removed within set time periods. In basic

terms, it is an offence to remove more than 5 cubic metres of timber in any one calendar quarter without having first obtained a felling licence from the Forestry Commission.

Any proposed tree works that are planned to be carried out on site must be carried out in accordance with the statutory controls outlined.

# Statutory Wildlife Protection

Although preliminary visual checks from ground level of likely wildlife habitats are made at the time of surveying, detailed ecological assessments of wildlife habitats are not made by the arboriculturist and fall outside of the scope for this report.

Trees which contain holes, splits, cracks and cavities could potentially provide a habitat for protected species such as bats in addition to birds and small mammals. It is advised that in some instances specialist ecological advice may be required. This may result in tree works being carried out following a detailed climbing inspection to the tree to ensure that protected species or their nests/roosts are not disturbed. If any are found, the site manager, site owner or consulting arboriculturist should be informed and appropriate action taken as recommended by the appointed Ecologist or the relevant Statutory Nature Conservation Organisation (SNCO): Natural England, Scottish Natural Heritage or Natural Resources Wales.

It is advised that tree/hedgerow works are carried out with the understanding that birds will generally nest in trees, hedges and shrubs between March and August. This time period only provides an indication of likely nesting times and as such diligence is required when undertaking tree works at all times.

Irrespective of the time of year and other than any actions approved under General Licence, it is an offence to intentionally kill, injure or take any wild bird or to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest or eggs of any wild bird. Ideally, tree operations should be avoided during the likely bird nesting period. However, any tree works should always only be carried out following a preliminary visual check of the vegetation.

For information, the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (as amended) and the Conservation of Habitat and Species Regulations 2010, form the basis of the statutory legislation for flora and fauna in England and Wales. A different legislative framework applies in Scotland and Northern Ireland.

Any proposed tree works that are planned to be carried out on site must be carried out in accordance with any relevant statutory controls, outlined above.



# **DESIGN GUIDANCE**

# Approach

The approach adopts the guidelines set out in the British Standard BS 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations. The process is broken down to coordinate with the key elements within both the RIBA Plan of Work (2013) and British Standard 5837:2012 as set out in the table below:

Information Stage	RIBA Stage	BS5837:2012		
Stage A – Tree Survey	2: Concept	4: Feasibility		
Stage B – Arboricultural Impact Assessment	3: Developed design	5: Proposals		
Stage C – Arboricultural Method Statement	4: Technical design	6: Technical Design		
Stage D – Arboricultural Site Supervision	5: Construction	7: Demolition and construction		

A hierarchical approach is adopted in order to achieve optimum use of the site and location of built structures. This is set out below:

# Avoid

The starting point of Site layout design should be to avoid the RPA of retained trees and provide suitable clearance from above ground constraints [tree canopies]. Where possible building lines should be at least 2m outside the RPA to provide working space for construction. However, protection measures can be taken if such clearance is not achievable.

# Mitigate

Where intrusion within the RPA is unavoidable then its impact on the tree can be mitigated by specialist measures:

Foundations that avoid trenching e.g. screw piles, suspended floor slabs or casting at ground level for lightweight structures such as bin and cycle stores.

Limited use may be made for parking, drives or hard surfaces within the root protection areas, subject to advice from a qualified arboriculturist. Cellular confinement systems that enable hard surfaces to be built above existing soil levels are acceptable methods subject to site-specific soil conditions.

Service runs that cannot be routed outside the RPA(s) can be installed by, for example, thrust boring, directional drilling, air excavation or hand digging. These operations often require supervision by the project arboriculturist.

# Compensate

Replacement planting can ensure the continuity of tree cover where tree removal is unavoidable or desirable. Off-site provision may be considered in some circumstances but this will require negotiation with the local planning authority.

# Considerations:

For proposed residential developments, consideration must be given to numerous factors future tree growth and orientation.

# Tree constraints

# **Root Protection Areas:**

With reference to BS5837:2012, a root protection area (RPA) is defined as "a layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure should be treated as a priority". "The default position [when considering design layout in relation to RPAs] should be that structures are located outside the RPAs of trees to be retained".

BS5837:2012 states (4.6.2) that, "where pre-existing site conditions or other factors indicate that rooting has occurred asymmetrically, a polygon of equivalent area should be produced." The BS goes on to state that, "modifications to the shape of the RPA should reflect a soundly based arboricultural assessment of likely root distribution," and that any deviation from the original circular plot should take into account:

- Morphology and disposition of roots;
- topography and drainage;
- soil type and structure;
- the likely tolerance of the tree to root damage/disturbance.



# Additional buffer zones beyond the RPA:

The following text is taken from the Standing Advice produced by the Forestry Commission and Natural England as included in the National Planing Policy Guidance:

'A buffer zone's purpose is to protect ancient woodland and individual ancient or veteran trees. The size and type of buffer zone should vary depending on the scale, type and impact of the development'.

# Ancient woodland buffer:

'For ancient woodlands, you should have a buffer zone of at least 15 metres to avoid root damage. Where assessment shows other impacts are likely to extend beyond this distance, you're likely to need a larger buffer zone. For example, the effect of air pollution from development that results in a significant increase in traffic'.

# Ancient and veteran tree buffer:

'A buffer zone around an ancient or veteran tree should be at least 15 times larger than the diameter of the tree. The buffer zone should be 5m from the edge of the tree's canopy if that area is larger than 15 times the tree's diameter'.

# Above ground:

Above ground constraints posed by trees describe the capacity for trees to have an overbearing or dominating effect on new developments; usually post occupancy. Typical above ground constraints include a number or combination of inconveniences including shading, branch spread, movement of trees during strong winds and so on. If not adequately considered, above ground constraints can lead to repeated requests to fell or heavily prune retained and protected trees.

# <u>Shade:</u>

Adverse shading and blocked views from windows raise concerns for incoming residents, which may lead to pressure to fell or remove trees in the future. Wherever possible it is advisable to arrange fenestration away from tree canopies to lessen the conflict, or increase window size to accommodate ambient light. Conversely, appropriate designed development can use existing or new trees to create necessary and welcome shade and screening.

As part of the adopted approach the above considerations and constraints are assessed cumulatively in order to provide clear and site-specific advice on the areas of a site most suitable for the location of development.

Dependent on the site and nature of the proposed development, the Tree Survey and Constraints Plans may show the following:

*Recommended Developable area* - an advisory area defined in order to minimise arboricultural impacts using standard approaches to construction. Restricting proposed development to this area will limit the risk of harm to retained trees and of the Local Planning Authority objecting to the proposed development. It may be possible to propose development outside of this area but specific 'low impact' construction techniques may be needed recommended.

*Recommended Buffer to development* - similar to the Recommend Developable Area but defined as a line marking a suitable buffer to retained trees. More commonly used on large sites or sites where the presence of trees is localised.

# **Tree Opportunities**

Depending on the scale of developments existing trees can often provide opportunities to enhance the existing arboricultural resource of a site by bringing it into good management or by putting in place remedial measures e.g. soil amelioration.

Appropriately designed new tree planting is extremely important in maintaining healthy and sustainable tree populations. For the reasons highlighted, new trees can bring many benefits to new developments. It is critical to the establishment of new tree planting that the locations, species and specification of new trees is appropriate. Subsequently the sourcing of high-quality stock, suitable planting and the provision of post planting maintenance are essential to allow new trees to establish and to allow them to mature.

